

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/065,182	0	9/24/2002	Ronald C. Carlson	27,672-02	6295	
23452	7590	04/15/2003				
PATENT D			EXAMINER			
1500 WELLS	FARGO		MCANULTY, TIMOTHY P			
7900 XERXI BLOOMING			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,			3682		
				DATE MAII ED: 04/15/2002	DATE MAIL ED: 04/15/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/065,182	CARLSON, RONALD C.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Timothy P McAnulty	3682					
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a lift within the statutory minimum of this will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	September 2002 .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ TI	nis action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow							
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2 and 10</u> is/are with	drawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-9 and 11-16</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 September 2002</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	Carrillor.						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 LLS C	8 119(a) (d) or (f)					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ii priority drider 33 0.0.0.	3 119(a)-(d) 01 (l).					
	ts have been received						
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
Copies of the certified copies of the prior							
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•					
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) The translation of the foreign language prediction of the foreign language prediction. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic content in the foreign language. 	· ·						
Attachment(s)	p	33 .Es dilaisi IEI.					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3 	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/065,182

Art Unit: 3682

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Embodiment I - Figure 1; Embodiment II - Figure 2.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, 1 and 9 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/065,182 Page 3

Art Unit: 3682

During a telephone conversation with Mr. Thomas Oppold on 03 April 2003 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Embodiment I - Figure 1, claims, 3-9, and 11-16. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 2 and 10 withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Drawings

- 3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore:
 - a. the adhesive as claimed in claim 4;
 - b. the elastomeric band as claimed in claim 5;
 - c. the elastomeric portion as claimed in claim 6;
 - d. the method of adhering as claimed in claim 11;
 - e. the method of providing an elastomeric band as claimed in claim 12; and
- f. the method of providing an elastomeric portion as claimed in claim 13; must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/065,182 Page 4

Art Unit: 3682

5. Claims 7 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 7 and 15 are unclear regarding the recitation of "said open top end is larger in size than said open bottom end". It is unclear as which particular structural feature of the open top end is larger in size than a particular feature of said open bottom end.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1,5-9, and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Krauss.

Krauss discloses in figure 5, a oil containment apparatus mounted on an engine comprising a circular first end; a circular second end; a peripheral wall connecting said first end and said second end; a restraint proximate said first end having an elastomieric band; wherein a diameter of said second end is greater than a diameter of said first end.

Regarding claim s 8 and 16, said peripheral wall is inherently movable between a first containment position and a second folded position.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3682

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. Claims 3,4,11, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krauss in view of Wells.

Krauss discloses the basic apparatus as previously cited but does not disclose said restraint having a clamp or having an adhesive. However, Wells teaches in figure 1B and lines 27-38 of column 3, a containment apparatus comprising a first end; a second end; a peripheral wall connecting said first end and said second end; and a restraint having a buckle 17 and glue (not numbered). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Krauss in view of the teachings of Wells to include said restraint having a buckle to clamp said first end to said motor thus providing a tighter mechanical seal therebetween. Additionally, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Krauss in view of the teachings of Wells to include said restraint having glue to attach a buckle to said peripheral wall so as to prevent said peripheral wall from being pulled out of said buckle.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art regarding oil filter covers in general:

US Patent No. 5,857,503 to Vreeken

US Patent No. 4,451,368 to Pandelena et al.

US Patent No. 5,101,868 to Balch

Application/Control Number: 10/065,182

Art Unit: 3682

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 11.

examiner should be directed to Timothy P McAnulty whose telephone number is 703.308.8684.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Bucci can be reached on 703.308.3668. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703.305.7687 for regular

communications and 703.305.7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.308.1113.

Page 6